

## Efficacy of zinc lactate and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* on nutrition and health of broiler chickens

### Eficacia del lactato de zinc y *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* en la nutrición y salud de pollos de engorde

Dayana Parra Ferrín<sup>1</sup>, Guido Cusme Lucas<sup>1</sup>, Viviana Talledo Solórzano<sup>1</sup>, Braulio Loor Gorozabel<sup>1</sup>, Anderson Pazmiño Castro<sup>1</sup>, Gerardo J. Cuenca-Nevárez<sup>1\*</sup>

Originales: Recepción: 18/05/2023 - Aceptación: 14/11/2023

#### ABSTRACT

This investigation examined the effects of zinc lactate and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* supplementation on the gastrointestinal microbiota of broiler chickens, analysing zootechnical, allometric, microbiological parameters and small intestine morphology. A total of 300 broiler chickens with an average initial weight of 47 g were distributed in four treatments in a completely randomised design. Treatments consisted of different zinc lactate and probiotic amounts added to the base diet. Chickens receiving the basal diet with organic zinc and *L. bulgaricus* showed a healthy response, remaining free of infectious agents for the 42-day study period. Treatment T1, with 30 mg of zinc lactate and 10 mg of probiotics per kg, showed improvements in weight gain, feed conversion, allometry, and intestinal health, as well as a healthy presence of gastrointestinal microbiota. Thus, the incorporation of zinc lactate (organic Zn) and probiotics based on *L. bulgaricus* into the basal diet of broiler chickens is an effective strategy to improve sanitary conditions and organic production of these species.

#### Keywords

allometry • basal diet • metabolism • gastrointestinal microbiota • animal health

1 Universidad Técnica de Manabí. Facultad de Agrociencias. Extensión Chone. Manabí. 130703. Ecuador. \* gerardo.cuenca@utm.edu.ec

## RESUMEN

Esta investigación examinó los efectos del lactato de zinc y la suplementación de *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* en la microbiota gastrointestinal de pollos de engorde, analizando parámetros zootécnicos, alométricos, microbiológicos y de la morfología del intestino delgado. Se utilizaron un total de 300 pollos de engorde con un peso inicial promedio de 47 gramos, distribuidos en cuatro tratamientos distribuidos en un diseño completamente aleatorizado. Los tratamientos variaron en la cantidad de lactato de zinc y probiótico añadidos a la dieta basal. Los pollos que recibieron la dieta basal con zinc orgánico y *L. bulgaricus* mostraron una respuesta saludable, permaneciendo libres de agentes infecciosos durante el período de estudio de 42 días. El tratamiento T1, que incluía 30 mg de lactato de zinc y 10 mg de probióticos por kilogramo, fue el más efectivo, mostrando mejoras en la ganancia de peso, la conversión alimenticia, la alometría y la salud intestinal, así como una desarrollo saludable de la microbiota gastrointestinal. Por lo tanto, la incorporación de lactato de zinc (Zn orgánico) y probióticos a base de *L. bulgaricus* en la dieta basal de los pollos de engorde puede ser una estrategia efectiva para mejorar las condiciones sanitarias y la producción orgánica de estas especies.

### **Palabras claves**

alometría • dieta basal • metabolismo • microbiota gastrointestinal • sanidad animal

## **INTRODUCTION**

Accelerated demographic growth generates increasing consumption of animal protein, enhancing poultry farming development over the last years, from agricultural systems where chickens were fed seeds and natural food products like worms or earthworms; to technified farming systems (16). In the present decade, poultry meat production leads meat production growth by 50% and is projected to occupy first place worldwide in 2030 (15).

In Ecuador, poultry production registers 1819 poultry farms generating 32000 job positions, 220000 indirect sources and around 2000 million dollars a year, that is, 16% of the agricultural GDP and 2% of the total GDP. The country's chicken meat production is mainly distributed among the provinces of Guayas with 22%, Pichincha with 16% and Santo Domingo de los Tsáchilas with 14%. Performing an economic analysis between 2018 and 2019, Ecuadorian poultry has gradually grown 27% (5).

Besides being a low-fat and low-calorie meat, chicken meat contributes a high biological value protein, essential and non-essential amino acids for human nutrition, B-complex vitamins and minerals such as iron, selenium, and copper (22). However, the choice for more productive animals and the increasing use of antibiotics favour stress conditions, increasing deficiencies in intestinal microbiota and producing a consequent lower natural resistance to pathogenic microorganisms (8).

The current excessive expenditure for feed in poultry diets has negatively affected productivity (22). However, new organic sources of minerals such as zinc, together with the addition of lactic acid bacteria such as *L. bulgaricus*, have been safely used in the feeding of other animal species, with several benefits. Therefore, the main objective of this research was to evaluate the effect of zinc lactate and *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* supplementation on broilers gastrointestinal microbiota (13).

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted on the property of Mr Cusme Manzaba, located in the Camareta site of the Santa Rita parish of the Chone canton in the province of Manabi. The area is located 45 m.a.s.l., with an average temperature of 28°C, annual rainfall of 1025 mm and relative humidity of 83%.

A total of 300 healthy one-day-old broilers, with an average initial weight of 47 g were arranged in a completely randomized design with four treatments, five replicates and fifteen broilers per experimental unit. Three levels of zinc lactate plus the probiotic (w/w ratio)

were evaluated weekly in a proportional manner (table 1), according to Shah *et al.* (2018) defining zootechnical, productive and sensory behaviour of broilers.

**Table 1.** Experimental design.**Tabla 1.** Descripción del diseño experimental usado en la investigación.

Treatment	Description	Replicates	# of chickens per experimental unit
T0	Control (basal diet)	5	15
T1	30 mg zinc lactate Kg <sup>-1</sup> feed + 10 mg <i>L. bulgaricus</i> Kg <sup>-1</sup> feed	5	15
T2	45 mg zinc lactate Kg <sup>-1</sup> feed + 50 mg <i>L. bulgaricus</i> Kg <sup>-1</sup> feed	5	15
T3	60 mg zinc lactate Kg <sup>-1</sup> feed + 100 mg <i>L. bulgaricus</i> Kg <sup>-1</sup> feed	5	15

The chickens' diet (table 2) was corn-based, and following the guidelines of the National Research Council (1994), the basal diet adopted three phases of feeding, initial (1-14 days), growth (15-28 days) and final (29-42 days). Food and water were provided *ad libitum*. Crude protein (Nx6.25), dry matter, fat and crude fibre were determined using the standard methods described in the AOAC (1).

**Table 2.** Proximal chemical analysis and Apparent Metabolizable Energy calculation of starter, grower and finisher diets for broilers.**Tabla 2.** Análisis químico proximal y cálculo de energía metabolizable aparente de dietas de iniciación, crecimiento y finalización para pollos de engorde.

	Starter diet (1-14 days)	Grower diet (15-28 days)	Finisher diet (29-42 days)
<b>Feed ingredients (%)</b>			
Corn	55	60	65
Soybean Meal (48% CP)	35	30	25
Fish Meal	5	3.5	4
Soybean Oil	1.5	2.5	0
Dicalcium Phosphate	1.8	1.7	1.6
Limestone	0.9	1	1.2
DL-Methionine	0.2	0.2	0.2
L-Lysine	0.1	0.1	0.1
Salt	0.3	0.3	0.3
Vitamin Mix*	0.15	0.15	0.15
Trace Mineral Mix	0.05	0.05	0.05
Choline Chloride (60%)	0.1	0.1	0.1
<b>Proximate composition (%)</b>			
Crude protein	21.6	19.7	18.3
Crude fat	2.12	2.34	2.79
Crude fibre	2.13	1.78	1.81
Total ash	5.57	5.38	5.21
Calculated AME(kcal kg <sup>-1</sup> )	2900-3000	3000-3100	3200-3300

\*Vitamin mineral mix (each kg contained):

Ca: 195g; K: 70g;

Na: 18g; Mg: 6g;

Zn:29mg; Fe: 2000mg;

Cu: 400mg; Mn:

1200mg; Se: 8mg;

Co: 20mg; I: 40mg;

vitamin A: 200000IU;

vitamin D3: 80000IU;

vitamin E: 1072IU;

vitamin K3: 34mg;

ascorbic acid: 1300mg;

thiamine: 35mg;

riboflavin: 135mg;

niacin:1340mg; vitamin

B6: 100mg; folic

acid: 34mg; vitamin

B12: 670ug and

biotin: 3350ug.

AME: Apparent Metabolizable Energy.

\*Mezcla de vitaminas y minerales (cada kg contenía):

Ca: 195g; K: 70g;

Na: 18g; Mg: 6g; Zn: 29mg;

Fe: 2000mg; Cu: 400mg;

Mn: 1200mg; Se: 8mg;

Co: 20mg; I: 40mg;

vitamina A: 200000UI;

vitamina D3: 80000UI;

vitamina E: 1072UI;

vitamina K3: 34mg;

ácido ascórbico:

1300mg; tiamina: 35mg;

riboflavina: 135mg;

niacina: 1340mg;

vitamina B6: 100mg;

ácido fólico: 34mg;

vitamina B12: 670ug y

biotina: 3350ug.

AME: Energía Metabolizable Aparente.

### Zootechnical parameters

The following productivity parameters were evaluated on days 7, 14, 21, 28, 35 and 42.

#### *Weekly weight gain*

Live weight of the chickens was weekly assessed and weight gain calculated according to Madrid Garcés *et al.* (2022).

$$Wg = \frac{\text{average final weight} - \text{average initial weight}}{\text{days}} \quad (1)$$

#### *Feed conversion ratio*

This parameter determines consumed feed weight over animal weight gain. The lower the feed conversion ratio, the more efficient animal raising (7).

$$FCR = \frac{\text{Consumption}}{\text{Weight gain}} \quad (2)$$

#### *Mortality*

Percentage of dead chicks in a given period.

$$\% M = \frac{\# \text{ of dead chicks}}{\# \text{ of initial chicks}} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

#### *European Efficiency Index (EEI)*

This index compares different flocks within an integration. The minimum value expected is 200. Any result below 200 defines low performance (6).

$$EEI = \frac{\% \text{ Viability} \times \text{final weight (kg)}}{\text{Time (days)} \times FCR} \times 100 \quad (4)$$

### Allometric parameters

During the staggered euthanasia (according to Comité de Bioética de la Universidad Técnica de Manabí), 40 birds were sacrificed as follows: on days 21 and 42, one chicken was sacrificed for each replicate. Animals were stunned by applying a carbon dioxide atmosphere for 3 minutes. All birds were sacrificed 3 hours after the last meal.

#### *Organ weights*

Organ weights were calculated as a percentage of live weight (%OW) as follows.

$$\%OW = \frac{\text{organ weight}}{\text{average weight/chicks}} \times 100 \quad (5)$$

#### *Allometric growth*

To determine organ ontogenesis and its relationship with body weight, the allometric growth constant (AC), proposed by Campos *et al.* (2022) was used.

$$AC = \frac{\frac{On}{\frac{Oh}{Bwn}}}{Bwh} \quad (6)$$

where:

O = organ weight

n = days after birth

h = birth weight

BW = body weight

When the organ grows in the same proportion to body weight, CA equals 1. If organ growth is below body weight, CA is smaller than 1. For CA over 1, relative growth to total body weight gain is accelerated (11).

### Small intestine morphometry

After 48 hours of collection, samples from different sections of the intestine were preserved in 10% formalin, then embedded in kerosene, cut at 4 $\mu$ m thickness and stained with hematoxylin-eosin to be washed and stored in ethanol:water (75:25, v:v) according to Chávez *et al.* (2016).

#### *Intestinal villi*

Height: once villus base was established, a line from midpoint to apex defined height.

Width: distance between apical edges of epithelial cells from opposite sides, located approximately in the middle of the villus.

#### *Crypts of Lieberkühn*

According to Quevedo *et al.* (2020), depth and width of Lieberkühn crypts (mm) were determined using an optical microscope with a micrometre eyepiece.

### Microbiological parameters

For the microbiological analysis of mesophilic aerobes, enterobacteria and lactobacillus, samples of the small intestine and muscle were taken from 3 chickens per treatment (one per replicate) and taken to perform microbial culture (2).

### Statistical analysis

The experimental results obtained from zootechnical, allometric, histomorphological (small intestine) and microbiological assessments were analyzed by ANOVA, followed by Duncan's test ( $p < 0.05$ ) multiple comparisons of means, using R version 3.5.2 (2018-12-20).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Analysis of zootechnical and productive parameters

All chickens considered in the 3 treatments with zinc lactate and *L. bulgaricus* in the basal diet presented optimal state of health, during the study phase. No statistical interactions were found between the treatments for any of the variables considered. Independent effects were analyzed.

Regarding feed consumption (Kg animal $^{-1}$ ), significant differences between treatments were observed, where the chicks in T1 had the lowest feed consumption compared to the other treatments ( $p < 0.05$ ; table 3). It is worth mentioning that the chickens subjected to T0 presented higher feed consumption, while the rest of the chickens subjected to T2 and T3 had moderate feed consumption. Regarding weight (Kg animal $^{-1}$ ), significant differences were also found.

EEI = European Efficiency Index.

SEM = Standard Error of the Mean.

Groups according to p-value 0.05.

Different letters show significant differences among means.

EEI = European Efficiency Index.

SEM = Error Estándar de la Media.

Los grupos se clasifican según la probabilidad de que exista una diferencia significativa entre sus medias, tomando en cuenta un nivel alfa de 0.05.

**Table 3.** Zootechnical and productive analysis of broilers subjected to zinc lactate and *L. bulgaricus* supplementation in the basal diet for 42 days.

**Tabla 3.** Análisis productivo y zootécnico de pollos de engorde sujetos a suplementación de lactato de zinc y *L. bulgaricus* en la dieta basal por 42 días.

Variables	Treatments				SEM	p-value
	T0	T1	T2	T3		
<b>Zootechnical parameters</b>						
Consumption (Kg animal $^{-1}$ )	5.041 <sup>a</sup>	4.400 <sup>c</sup>	4.702 <sup>b</sup>	4.686 <sup>b</sup>	0.065	<0.05
Weight (Kg animal $^{-1}$ )	2.725 <sup>c</sup>	2.973 <sup>a</sup>	2.799 <sup>b</sup>	2.755 <sup>b,c</sup>	0.066	
Feed conversion	1.85 <sup>a</sup>	1.48 <sup>c</sup>	1.68 <sup>b</sup>	1.70 <sup>b</sup>	0.047	
<b>Productive indicators</b>						
Viability (%)	84.40 <sup>d</sup>	95.60 <sup>a</sup>	88.90 <sup>c</sup>	90.98 <sup>b</sup>	0.303	<0.05
EEI	295.99 <sup>c</sup>	456.95 <sup>a</sup>	352.45 <sup>b</sup>	351.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.058	

The best broiler feed conversion was achieved with T1 (1.48). Percentage of viability and the European Efficiency Index were also achieved in T1, values closely related to those obtained by Shah *et al.* (2018) when determining the effect of zinc and probiotics on the performance and morphology of immune organs in heat-stressed broilers. The authors stated that zinc and probiotics vary in effectiveness according to intestinal absorption degrees and subsequent blood bioavailability. On the other hand, according to González-Vásquez *et al.* (2020), the concentration of zinc lactate recommended for poultry diets should be approximately 40mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> and 75mg Kg<sup>-1</sup> of feed. In the present study, we obtained better benefits with 40 mg of zinc lactate and 15 mg of probiotic per Kg of feed.

Significant differences ( $p<0.05$ ) were evidenced for organ weight (%PV) during this research, where the gizzard reached average weight (2.269%), liver (2.928%) and small intestine (6.312%). It should be noted that both the liver and small intestine obtained the highest weights at T1, while the gizzar reached the highest weight at T3 (2.443%).

Organ allometric analysis showed statistically significant differences ( $p<0.05$ ). Chicken gizzard presented an average growth (CA=0.49), liver (CA=0.62) and small intestine (CA=0.47), all "slow growth values" (CA<1) in relation to body weight (table 4).

%OW = organ weight.  
AG = allometric growth.

SEM = Standard Error of  
the Mean.

Groups according to  
p-value 0.05.

Means with the  
same letter are not  
significantly different.

%PO = peso del órgano.

CA = crecimiento  
alométrico.

EEM = Error estándar  
de la media.

Los grupos se clasifican  
según la probabilidad  
de que exista una  
diferencia significativa  
entre sus medias,  
tomando en cuenta un  
nivel alfa de 0,05.

**Table 4.** Organ weight (%OW) and allometric analysis of broilers under supplementation with zinc lactate and probiotic (*L. bulgaricus*) for 42 days.

**Tabla 4.** Peso del órgano (%PO) y análisis alométrico de pollos de engorde que se sometieron a suplementación de la dieta basal con lactato de zinc y probióticos (*L. bulgaricus*) durante 42 días.

Organs	Allometric variables	Treatments				SEM	p-value
		T0	T1	T2	T3		
Gizzard	%OW	1.916 <sup>d</sup>	2.393 <sup>a</sup>	2.270 <sup>c</sup>	2.297 <sup>b</sup>	0.026	<0.05
	AG	0.419 <sup>b</sup>	0.489 <sup>a</sup>	0.451 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.360 <sup>c</sup>	0.047	
Liver	%OW	2.183 <sup>c</sup>	3.492 <sup>a</sup>	3.183 <sup>b</sup>	2.754 <sup>d</sup>	0.029	
	AG	0.564 <sup>b</sup>	0.648 <sup>a</sup>	0.583 <sup>b</sup>	0.575 <sup>b</sup>	0.031	
Small intestine	%OW	5.31 <sup>d</sup>	6.86 <sup>a</sup>	6.13 <sup>c</sup>	6.48 <sup>b</sup>	0.043	
	AG	0.19 <sup>d</sup>	0.51 <sup>a</sup>	0.32 <sup>c</sup>	0.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.020	

Consumption of zinc lactate and probiotics enhances productive parameters and influences weight and organ development, particularly intestine (23).

Higher organ weights were found in chickens subjected to T1 (basal diet plus 30 mg of zinc lactate and 10 mg of probiotic Kg<sup>-1</sup>). The amount of zinc lactate and probiotics to be added, should not surpass the indicated amounts since they can cause an opposite effect such as poor nutrient retention, low weight gain and low organ development (2).

Providing broilers with diets including zinc lactate and probiotics, improve digestive performance. This research verified the positive effect of adding zinc lactate and probiotics to conventional feed. In accordance with previous studies (18, 21), animal metabolic processes as well as nutrient absorption were more efficient.

#### Morphometry of the small intestine

Table 5 (page 126), presents histomorphological variables corresponding to significant interactions between Zn and probiotic mixture.

H-iv = height of intestinal villi.  
W-iv = width of intestinal villi.  
CD = crypt depth.  
SEM = Standard Error of the Mean.

Groups according to p-value 0.05.

H-iv = Altura de las vellosidades intestinales.

W-iv = Ancho de las vellosidades intestinales.

CD = Profundidad de la cripta.

SEM = Error estándar de la media.

Los grupos se clasifican según la probabilidad de que exista una diferencia significativa entre sus medias, tomando en cuenta un nivel alfa de 0,05.

Groups by probability of mean difference and alpha level (0.05)

SEM = Standard Error of the Mean

Groups according to p-value 0.05.

Los grupos se clasifican según la probabilidad de que exista una diferencia significativa entre sus medias, tomando en cuenta un nivel alfa de 0,05.

SEM = Error Estándar de la Media.

**Table 5.** Effect of dietary zinc lactate and *L. bulgaricus* on histomorphology parameters of the small intestine of broiler chickens at 42 days of age.

**Tabla 5.** Efecto de la inclusión de lactato de zinc y *L. bulgaricus* en la dieta sobre parámetros histomorfológicos del intestino delgado de pollos de engorde a los 42 días de edad.

Gut variables ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Treatments				SEM	p-value
	T0	T1	T2	T3		
<b>H-iv</b>	0.65 $\pm$ 0.04	0.71 $\pm$ 0.07	0.62 $\pm$ 0.04	0.66 $\pm$ 0.05	0.25	0.13
<b>W-iv</b>	0.25 $\pm$ 0.05	0.36 $\pm$ 0.07	0.31 $\pm$ 0.06	0.28 $\pm$ 0.04	0.21	0.21
<b>CD</b>	0.43 $\pm$ 0.04	0.49 $\pm$ 0.05	0.39 $\pm$ 0.04	0.44 $\pm$ 0.02	0.42	0.07

According to Iñiguez Heredia *et al.* (2021) probiotics and organic acids are important fattening stimulants. These authors state that Lieberkühn crypts in intestines have a key role in determining enzymatic effects. In this context, we observed variations, however non-significant, in height and width of intestinal villi between T1 and T0, T2, T3. Crypts resulted deeper in T1, than in T0, T2 and T3.

#### Microbiological analysis

Table 6 shows statistical differences between treatments for populations of enterobacteria, mesophiles and lactobacillus. Mesophilic bacteria showed 8.2 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup> for T0 and 8.9 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup> for T3, respectively, remaining stable between all treatments, in accordance with González-Vásquez *et al.* (2020), who found no statistical differences in the population of mesophilic aerobes in chicken ileum.

**Table 6.** Counting of bacterial populations in chicken small intestine.

**Tabla 6.** Conteo de poblaciones bacterianas en el intestino delgado del pollo.

Microorganisms	Treatments				SEM	p-value
	T0	T1	T2	T3		
Mesophilic aerobes	8.2 <sup>c</sup>	8.7 <sup>a,b</sup>	8.5 <sup>b</sup>	8.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.122	<0.05
Enterobacteriaceae	8.5 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 <sup>d</sup>	7.1 <sup>c</sup>	8.3 <sup>b</sup>		
Lactobacillus	6.2 <sup>d</sup>	9.8 <sup>a</sup>	9.2 <sup>b</sup>	9.0 <sup>c</sup>		

Enterobacteria yielded significant differences in all treatments. The presence of enterobacteria (gram-negative bacteria) was lower for T1, as stated by Rebollada-Merino *et al.* (2020) when adding antibiotics and prebiotics to chicken basal diet.

Regarding lactic acid bacteria, T1 presented the highest count. Lactobacillus bacteria had the lowest presence for treatment T0, (6.3 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup>) and the highest presence in T1 with 9.9 log CFU g<sup>-1</sup>. This, according to Blajman *et al.* (2015), helps improve gastrointestinal flora, inhibiting pathogenic microorganisms and enhancing immunology, thus improving general growth.

#### CONCLUSION

Zinc lactate and *L. bulgaricus* in the basal diet of broilers allowed obtaining better sanitary conditions in organic production since performance of broiler management is evaluated in terms of zootechnical, allometric, histomorphology and microbiological parameters of small intestine resulting in greater villi growth and decreased enterobacteria and mesophilic aerobes populations.

## REFERENCES

1. Association of Analytical Communities International. 2019. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC. AOAC International.
2. Blajman, J. E.; Zbrun, M. V.; Astesana, D. M.; Berisvil, A. P.; Romero Scharpen, A.; Fusari, M. L.; Soto, L. P.; Signorini, M. L.; Rosmini, M. R.; Frizzo; L. S. 2015. Probióticos en pollos parrilleros: una estrategia para los modelos productivos intensivos? Rev Argent Microbiol. 47(4): 360-7.
3. Campos, J. T.; Escalona, M. A.; Nichorzon, M. R.; Ramírez, L. C.; Acuña, R. S. 2022. Alometría digestiva en pollos suplementados con harina de orégano como promotor de crecimiento. Revista Espamciencia. 13(1): 16-25.
4. Chávez, L. A.; López, A.; Parra, J. E. 2016. Crecimiento y desarrollo intestinal de aves de engorde alimentadas con cepas probióticas. Archivos de zootecnia. 65(249): 51-8.
5. Corporación Nacional de Avicultores del Ecuador (CONAVE). 2021. Estadísticas del sector avícola. Vol. 4281. [cited 2022 Sep 1]. p. 1-6. <https://conave.org/informacion-sector-avicola-publico/>
6. Cowieson, A. J.; Smith, A.; Sorbara, J. O. B.; Pappenberger, G.; Olukosi, O. A. 2019. Efficacy of a monocomponent exogenous protease in the presence of a high concentration of exogenous phytase on growth performance of broiler chickens. Journal of Applied Poultry Research. 28(3): 638-46.
7. da Silva, I. M.; Broch, J.; Wachholz, L.; de Souza, C.; Dalólio, F. S.; Teixeira, L. V.; Eyng, C.; Nunes, R. V. 2019. Dry residue of cassava associated with carbohydrases in diets for broiler chickens. Journal of Applied Poultry Research. 28(4): 1189-201.
8. Espinoza, L. F. V.; Gómez, J. J. A.; Morán, D. L. T.; Baldeón, J. J. G. 2022. Avaliação da produção de frangos de corte com diferentes doses de vinagre em água potável no cantão Babahoyo: Evaluation of broiler production with different doses of vinegars in drinking water in the Babahoyo canton. Brazilian Journal of Animal and Environmental Research. 5(3): 3182-98.
9. González-Vázquez, A.; Ponce-Figueroa, L.; Alcivar-Cobeña, J.; Valverde-Lucio, Y.; Gabriel-Ortega, J. 2020. Suplementación alimenticia con promotores de crecimiento en pollos de engorde Cobb 500. Vol. 7, Journal of the Selva Andina Animal Science. Scielobo. p. 3-16.
10. Iñiguez Heredia, F. A.; Espinoza Bustamante, X. E.; Galarza Molina, E. L. 2021. Uso de probióticos y ácidos orgánicos como estimulantes del desarrollo de aves de engorde: artículo de revisión. Alfa Revista de Investigación en Ciencias Agronómicas y Veterinaria. 5(14): 166-72.
11. Loor Gorozabel, B. E.; Talledo Solórzano, M. V.; Cuenca Nevarez, G. J.; Intriago Flor, F. G. 2020. Actividad probiótica de (*Lactobacillus* spp.), y su incidencia en el desarrollo de los parámetros zootécnicos, alométricos y de la microbiota gastrointestinal en pollos broilers. Revista Ecuatoriana de Ciencia Animal. 4(2): 96-108.
12. Madrid Garcés, T. A.; González Herrera, L. G.; López Herrera, A.; Parra Suescum, J. 2022. Improvement of productive and metabolic indicators of broiler by the application of *Lippia origanoides* essential oil in an *in vivo* intestinal inflammation model. Rev Fac Nac Agron Medellin. 75(2).
13. Medeiros-Ventura, W. R. L.; Rabello, C. B. V.; Barros, M. R.; Silva Junior, R. V.; Oliveira, H. B.; Faria, A. G.; Silva, A. F.; Soares, P. C.; Pereira, C. G.; Santos, M. J. B.; Fireman, A. K. † 2020. Zinc, manganese, and copper amino acid complexes improve performance and bone characteristics of layer-type chicks under thermoneutral and cold stress conditions. Poult Sci. 99(11): 5718-27.
14. National Research Council. 1994. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
15. OCDE, FAO. 2020. Perspectivas Agrícolas 2020-2029. OECD Publishing. Paris: OECD Publishing. 278 p. [https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/agriculture-and-food/ocde-fao-perspectivas-agricolas-2020-2029\\_a0848ac0-es](https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/agriculture-and-food/ocde-fao-perspectivas-agricolas-2020-2029_a0848ac0-es)
16. Pomboza-Tamaquiza, P.; Guerrero-López, R.; Guevara-Freire, D.; Rivera, V. 2018. Granjas avícolas y autosuficiencia de maíz y soya: caso Tungurahua-Ecuador. Estudios sociales (Hermosillo, Son). 28(51): 0.
17. Quevedo, D. M.; Ochoa, J. E.; Corredor, J. R.; Pulecio, S. L. 2020. Efectos de la adición de probiótico *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* sobre histomorfología intestinal en pollos de engorde. Vol. 67, Revista de la Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y de Zootecnia. Scieloco. p. 239-52.
18. Ramiah, S. K.; Awad, E. A.; Mookiah, S.; Idrus, Z. 2019. Effects of zinc oxide nanoparticles on growth performance and concentrations of malondialdehyde, zinc in tissues, and corticosterone in broiler chickens under heat stress conditions. Poult Sci. 98(9): 3828-38.
19. Rebollada-Merino, A.; Ugarte-Ruiz, M.; Hernández, M.; Miguela-Villoldo, P.; Abad, D.; Rodríguez- Lázaro, D.; de Juan, L.; Domínguez, L.; Rodríguez-Bertos, A. 2020. Reduction of *Salmonella Typhimurium* cecal colonisation and improvement of intestinal health in broilers supplemented with fermented defatted 'alperujo', an olive oil by-product. Animals. 10(10): 1931.
20. Shah, M.; Zaneb, H.; Masood, S.; Khan, I.; Sikandar, A.; Ashraf, S.; Rehman, H. F.; Usman, M. M.; Khan, F. A.; Amanullah, H.; Rehman, H. 2018. Effect of zinc and probiotics supplementation on performance and immune organs morphology in heat stressed broilers. S Afr J Anim Sci. 48(6).
21. Swain, P. S.; Rao, S. B. N.; Rajendran, D.; Dominic, G.; Selvaraju, S. 2016. Nano zinc, an alternative to conventional zinc as animal feed supplement: A review. Animal Nutrition. 2(3): 134-41.
22. Wu, X. P.; Zhu, Y. F.; Zhang, K. Y.; Ding, X. M.; Bai, S. P.; Wang, J. P.; Peng, H. W.; Zeng, Q. F. 2019. Growth performance, zinc tissue content, and intestinal health in meat ducks fed different specific surface area of micronized zinc oxide. Poult Sci. 98(9): 3894-901.

23. Zaghari, M.; Mehrvarz, H.; Hajati, H.; Moravej, H. 2022. Evaluation of an innovative Zn source on feed efficiency, Growth Performance, Skin and Bone Quality of Broilers Suffering Heat Stress. Animals. 12(23): 3272.